

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,070	08/30/2000	Scott Andrew Cummings	108339-09030 1144		
32294 75	590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER		
	NDERS & DEMPSEY L	BLOUNT, STEVEN			
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2668		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)  CUMMINGS, SCOTT ANDREW	
		09/651,07	o		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Steven Blo	unt	2668	
The MAILIN	G DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE ONGER, FROM THE MAILING be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication specified above, the maximum statutory pees set or extended period for reply will, by state office later than three months after the maximum. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve n. eriod will apply and wil tatute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	. ely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ This action i 3)□ Since this a	to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$ is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\Box$ oplication is in condition for allocordance with the practice und	This action is no owance except t	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims	<b>S</b>				
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1 -</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>9 -</u>	39 is/are pending in the applic ove claim(s) is/are with is/are allowed. 8, 14, 19 - 20, and 29 - 32 is/a 13, 15 - 18, 21 - 28, and 33 - 3 are subject to restriction ar	ndrawn from cor are rejected. 39 is/are objecte	ed to.		
Application Papers					
10) The drawing ( Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the Exams) filed on is/are: a) \[ \begin{align*} r not request that any objection to drawing sheet(s) including the collectoration is objected to by the legislation in the collectoration is objected to by the legislation is objected to be a legislation of the legislation in the legislation is objected to be a legislation of the legislation of the legislation is objected to be a legislation of the l	accepted or b)[ the drawing(s) be rrection is require	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119				
a) All b) 1. Certifi 2. Certifi 3. Copie applic	nent is made of a claim for fore Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority documed copies of the priority documes of the certified copies of the pation from the International Burned detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume ireau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	3/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	)-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 8, 14 and 29 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,798,743 to Ma et al.

With regard to claim 1, Ma et al teach MAC 702/704 and 810/820, CPU interface (col 4 lines 40+), upstream flow module 802/804 wherein the QOS is described in col 11 lines 43+; bridging and routing module 808, wherein wrapping occurs as described in col 14 lines 57+; Qos occurs in member 810; downstream flow module 810, wherein member 810 classifies the packets according to rules. Although a bus is not explicitly mentioned, the examiner believes that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the obviousness of using busses for members 701/720 of Ma et al. The examiner notes that no patentable weight has been given to the use of a cable modem, since although it is recited in the preamble, it is not referred to in the body of the claim.

With regard to claim 2, scheduling occurs in the upstream flow module.

With regard to claim 3, these three functions occur in Ma et al.

With regard to claims 4 - 5, see discussion of QOS above.

With regard to claim 6, DOCSIS would be an obvious protocol to use.

With regard to claim 7, see memory 814.

Application/Control Number: 09/651,070

Art Unit: 2661

With regard to claim 8, see interfaces 801 and 820.

With regard to claim 14, the examiner takes Official Notice that leaky bucket is a well known algorithm in the art.

With regard to claim 29, members 702/704 are a MAC equivalent, as are members 710/720; member 704 is a network functions module; QOS in the upstream flow module is discussed in col 11 lines 10+ and 43+; FIB 708 is an obvious equivalent of a bridging and routing module; flow management of the packet is discussed with respect to member 704; wrapping is discussed in col 14 lines 57+. Again, though a bus is not explicitly stated to carry the data *to* and *from* the router, the examiner notes that the bus 15 in figure 4 would make this obvious.

With regard to claim 30, the QOS performs a rate shaping function.

With regard to claims 31 - 32, the examiner takes Official Notice that leaky bucket is a well known algorithm, and that priority encoding is a well known method for implementing this algorithm.

3. Claims 19 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,798,743 to Ma et al as applied above to claims 1 - 8, 14 and 29 - 32, and further in view of U.S. patent 6,618,386 to Liu et al.

With regard to claim 19, Ma teaches flow module 702/704 (col 9 lines 58+), memory (access list verification) 706, router 708, and downstream flow module 710. Although busses are not explicitly stated to carry the data *to* and *from* the router, the examiner the examiner notes that the bus 15 in figure 4 would make this obvious.

Ma does not, however, teach a memory means for receiving a packet pointer for a packet selected from one of a plurality of sources. This is taught in Liu et al. See col 8 lines 25 – 35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a packet pointer in Ma in light of the teachings of Liu et al in order to provide a network functions module which can operate with a smaller memory requirement.

With regard to claim 20, the communication chain shown between members 710 and 711 is linear.

4. Claims 9 - 13, 15 - 18, 21 - 28, and 33 - 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to include the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/2/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, with respect to claim 1, that Ma et al does not teach QOS in the upstream flow direction. However, in lines 44+, "QOS processing" is explicitly mentioned. The examiner believes that, read in context, it is obvious that the QOS occurs in members 802/804.

Also, the examiner, in response to applicants remarks regarding "wrapping", notes that encapsulation is an equivalent form of "wrapping", and that wrapping "control information" is never claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/651,070

Art Unit: 2661

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Blount whose telephone number is 571 - 272 - 3071. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Chau Nguyen, can be reached on 571 - 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/651,070

Art Unit: 2661

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB 1/22/06 Alpan so. rom

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY EXAMINER